

- In 1991, the State Chancellor€s Office required all California Community Colleges to complete an equity plan. But there was no funding to support equity work.
- In 2014, California Community Colleges are required to submit an explainty and this time, there is a large amount of money attached to support the equity work.
- It €s a challenge for CCSF due to many factors including high turnover of senior leadership.
 There is a gap in leadership and the College has limited time to controlled to the control
- In Fall 2014, there were some focused groups and forums to generate feedback.
- The 201516 equity plan is duDecember 18, 2015.
- Approximately \$2.9 million equity funding that our College netedspend by December, 2016. There is a \$1 million allocatedSpring 2016 o support the proposals that have already gone through the program review and funding altocateds.
- The review process to use equity funding needs to be improved. Professional development is important and there is a need to make sure each group (faculty, staff, and students) has access to equity funding for professional development.
- In therevised equity plan, there are specific items that address student access to the equity funding. In the 2015 6 equity plan draft, students will be able to submit proposals to close the equity gap.
- This year, there is a focus in strengthening the **boltation** between instruction and student development. This year €s plan focuses directlypercific departmentand programs and how these departments wark together to close the equity gap.
- Basic skills: this year€s plan went directly to Englishth, and ESL departmentask what they are doing, what they need, and how equity funding can help support their work in basic skills and close the equity gap.
- There is no equity office which makes it difficult to coordinate the equity work.

Feedbackfrom the Council

- Different funding sourceisncluding equity funding can be usted support the EASE plan implementation.
- A concern was raised about hiring. One the one hand, the college is reluctant to create new jobs. On the other hand, the equity plan cseatemany new positions.
- The 201516 equity plan draft looks good because it includes essional development, reachesout to specific departments and facilitates dialogue about how to close the equity gap.
- A question was posed about the equity contactor with 0.4 reassigned timend why there is an equity coordinator position when there is already an equity coordinator and pathway coordinator nowVCSD responded: In an effort to create an equity office, we need to create an equity administrator ition. The equity coordinator position will work with the equity administrator. The current equity coordinator and the pathway coordinator will hold their positionthroughSpring 2016. So it€s important to include the equity coordinator position in the path. More discussion is needed.
- A concern was expressed about hiring the new positions when equity is a grant fund. Although it is anticipated that the equity funding will continuous College should notely too much on grant funds to supplie positions to help our students.

- A concern was expressed if equity plan doesn€t include pathway because many innovative pathway programs rely on grant funding and categorical funding. If people are hired with categorical funding, whether they are administrationsulty, or staff, they need to be hired as temporary, categorical funded positions. Faculty should be provided with the opportunities to move up to administration so we can grow our own administrators.
- A concern was expressed abthut inclusion of couseling. It is important to have collaboration and communication with student development and counseling proposal was made to add counseling to the recommendations from the Student Equity Strategies Committee and the equity plan.
- The equity funding ismportant to support the work we do for our students.
- Equity is a collegewide effort at the institutional level.
- It is important to also include services and instruction in credit to close the equity gap.

B. <u>Academic Senate Program Revie</u> st Read

- a. Internal and External Survey Results
- b. Committee Evaluation Results

This is the second Academic Senate program review. The intermedverwent out to last year€s ExC members, and the external review survey went to all faculty.

Feedback from the Council:

- Needmore faculty hiring and support forculty participation in the participatory governance system.
- The program review committees an important discussion about how to engagetipaet faculty in program review and participatory governance. It is challenging fetipeert faculty to fully participate de to their other commitments, but it is great that-pierrers take the time to omplete the external review.
- Based on the feedback, there is an improvement needed on Academic Senate Committees about their work and updates.
- It is important for the committees, particularly that the major decisions and key changes, to the process, procedures, and policies at the college to provide updates to the Academic Senate and the college community every semester tevery pne informed and to gathefreedback.
- Need a designate person to get all meeting records from committees and poise That will be very effective in keeping everyone informed.
- When a faculty coordinator is reassigned, there is no backfill in counseling and that has been a problem. The faculty coordinator reassigned time must be included in the budget before coordnator positions are reassigned to ensure backfill and adequate coverage in the departments.
- A CTE Liaison needs to be appointed including a-normalit liaison to serve on the Academic Senate Executive Council. Another suggestion is to have a delegrate the Center to attend the Academic Senate Executive Council.
- It may be difficult to ask faculty to serve on multiple committees given the fact that many faculty are already doing a lott has been a challenge to have enough candidates for the Executive Council last year.